| On the state of th | <u> </u>                          | TRANSMITTAL   |       | U.S. Paten s are required to respond to a collection Application Number  Filing Date First Named Inventor  Art Unit Examiner Name  Attorney Docket Number  | nt and Trac | demark<br>mation L<br>,959<br>999<br>'. Camp |   |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|-------|--|-------------|--|---|
|  | 100                               | al Number of Pages III This Submission  |       | 1  |             |  |   |
|  | ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) |   |       |  |             |  |   |
|  |                                   | Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request  Information Disclosure Statement |       | Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a  Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revocation  Change of Correspondence Addre  Terminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s) |             | -return                                      | After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): |
|  |                                   | Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53  | Remar | <u>ks</u>  |             |  | RECEIVED  JUL 1 6 2004  Technology Center 2100  |

## Reckamp, Reg. No. 34,414

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Christopher J. Reckamp, Reg. No. 34,414 Individual name

Date July 7, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name
Christine A. Wright

Signature Christine a Whislet

Date July 7, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## E UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Paul W. Campbell

Examiner:

Pierre M. Vital

Serial No.: Filing Date: 09/352,959 July 14, 1999 Art Unit:

2188 Our File No.: 00100.99.0094

Confirmation No.:

2833

Docket No.:

0100.9900940

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR VIRTUAL ADDRESS TRANSLATION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Certificate of First Class Mailing

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this date.

RESPONSE

JUL 1 6 2004

Dear Sir:

Technology Center 2100

In response to the Office Action mailed April 7, 2004, Applicant submits the following Response.

## REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 1, 6, 12 and 17 are allowed.

Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,433,782 (Nakatsuka et al.) and U.S. Patent No. 6,192,455 (Bogin et al.). In the "Response to Arguments" section of the office action, the Examiner attempts to argue that the Nakatsuka reference teaches multiple translations or that a second translation of an already translated address is required. However, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner